

## Methodology of Life Cycle Cost Optimization of energy retrofits

Linn Liu Division of Energy Systems, Department of Management and Energinnering, Linköping University Background Aim Objective Methodolgy-I, II, III **Results** Conclusions

# Background

•The Swedish Building Regulation has decided that during a renovation in form of energy efficiency the measures which are planning to implement should not change the historic building's heritage culture values in a way that distorts the building from historical, environmental and artistic point of view.

•The interest of implementing cost effective energy efficiency measures on historic building is increasing.



Background
Aim
Objective
Methodolgy – I, II, III
Results
Conclusions

# Aim

**To** describe the methodology which is called OPERA (Optimal Energy Retrofits Advisory) based on LCC method to optimize the energy use of buildings and energy efficienct measures while also considering the energy supply system.

VGS U



Backgrou nd			
Aim			
Objective			
Methodolg y: I, II, III			
Results			
Conclusio ns			

## Objective

- One Swedish multi-family building built in 1890 has been chosen.
- Building's external walls have different thicknesses at different floor.
- Location: Stockholm
- The building is connected to district heating.
- Natrual ventilation







#### **Optimal Energy Retrofit Advisory (OPERA)**



Backgrou

nd

- Energy efficient measures (EEMs):
  - Attic floor insul.
  - Ground floor insul.
  - External wall insul. From inside or outside
  - Window exchange
  - Weather stripping

& Heating system upgrade (WB, HP, DH)

- The lowest LCC is the optimal soultion of the building.
- To find solutions **before** the building gets to the optimal solution:
- To find solutions **after** the building gets to the optimal point..



Backgrou nd	How to hand
Aim	
Objective	
Methodolg y: I, II, III	
Results	
Conclusio ns	Basement F1
	Basement

#### ow to handle the objective?

- 1. Separate the building.
- 2. Calculate the LCC for each floor for each heating system.
- 3. Add all the LCC of each floor for each heating sytem. The lowest LCC is the optimal solution.
- 4. To investigate other cases other than the optimal case.
- 5. Sensitivity analysis base on the optimal solution.



Backgro			Resul
una		Before retrofits	
	LCC <sub>0</sub> MEuro	0.5 0	•]
Aim	E <sub>0</sub> MWh	131.1	•
		After retrofits	
Objectiv		No limitation	50% E <sub>0</sub> reduce
e	WB		e
Methodo	LCC MSEK	0.40(-18.0%)	0.41 (-16.3%)
lgy: I, II,	E MWh	84.7 (-45.4%)	76.3 (-50.8%)
	PWB kW	40.9	38.8
Regulto			ir
Results	HP		•
	(Optimal)		ir
Conclusi	LCC MSEK	0.34 (-28.5%)	0.38 (22.7%)
ons	E MWh	92.2 (-40.5%)	75.4 (-51.4%)
	PHP kW	13.4	11.5 w
	DH		
	LCC MSEK	0.41 (-16.1%)	0.43 (-13.5%)
	E MWh	89.5 (-42.2%)	75.7 (-51.1%) 100 UNIVER
	PDH kW	34.3	31.5

#### Optimal case: measures •Basement: External wall insul. 12cm inside; •1<sup>st</sup> 2<sup>nd</sup> 3<sup>rd</sup> floor: external wall insul. 14cm inside + sealing windows; •4<sup>th</sup> floor: attic floor insulation 24cm + external wall insulation 14cm inside + sealing windows;

#### 50% Energy reduce measure:

•Basement: Floor insul. 40cm + External wall insul. 34 cm inside;

•1<sup>st</sup> 2<sup>nd</sup> 3<sup>rd</sup> floor: External wall insul. 14cm inside + Sealing windows + Window exchange U=2.7 to U=1.1;

•4<sup>th</sup> floor: Attic floor insul. 24 cm + External wall insul. 14cm inside + sealing windows



## Conclusions

- The retrofit cost is lowest by using heat pump and implementing the appropriate measures both in the optimal case and when reduce the building's energy use by 50%.
- E<sub>0</sub> reduce by 20%?
  - In secitivity analysis: energy prises, insulation prises, window changing
- To which level can we reduce the building's energy use without destroy building's historic value?



# Thank you for your attention!

